Approved For Release 200 TECTA-RDP57-00384Ree05000860605

28 July 1950

25X1A

MEMORANDOM FOR THE RECORD

25X1A

On 25 July 1950, a discussion was held with Mr. Warren Irons, Chief, Retirement Division, Civil Service Commission, relative to Various problems retirement records on relating to apparent dual compensation were brought up as well as the specific requirements covering entries on 2906 cards. Mr. Irons stated that a greater majority of these cases could be covered by the issuance of a directive to the Retirement Division. This directive will state that cases of apparent dual compensation, where one agency concerned is CIA, would be processed without question. If however, a question arises from some other aspect of the case, no action will be taken without discussion with a representative of the DCI.

25X1A 25X1A

One particular type of case not covered by this directive is Inasmuch as these employees are subject to a different retirement program, there is no problem and the employee, when otherwise eligible, will receive two retirement checks.

A futher discussion is necessary to cover one problem in a to finalize the question of retirement case involving deductions. Present thinking indicates that an individual in this category will have his civilian retirement taken care of by straight government contribution. If this is true, there will be no problem of dual compensation.

Mr. Irons was concerned with one problem in the maintenance of the 2806 cards. He wanted assurance that in these cases our entries in the salary column would indicate the true amount which we are paying the individuals. He was advised that this is true.

An informal opinion was requested from Mr. Irons concerning the attitude of the Commission if this Agency were to propose an accelerated retirement program for certain classes of employees. It is his opinion that CIA is included under Section 1-d, of the

Approved For Release 2001/07/25 : CIA-RDP57-00384R099500080060-5

Civil Service Retirement Act. I advised him that our General Counsel did not interpret this particular section in the same manner. However, he was adament and informed me that he had requested an opinion on our inclusion from his General Counsel prior to the submission of this emendment to the Congress. The Civil Service Commission General Counsel advised Mr. Irons that CIA was definitely included.

Correspondence to the Civil Service Commission and the General Accounting Office is being initiated to permit a written opinion from both agencies on their interpretation of Section 1-d.

25X1A